

Thursday, December 27th 1990

Today's Topics:

RE: Super 8 film light streaks
Belgian UFO/Hard Copy segment
Re: Interview with Jean-Pierre Petit
Belgian UFO/Hard Copy segment
Belgian UFO/Hard Copy segment
Belgian Ufo/hard Copy Segment
RE: Super 8 film light streaks
Re: Interview with Jean-Pierre Petit
FYI
Resonant Gravity Field Coils?

From: louie.edel.edu!mvac23!thomas
Subject: RE: Super 8 film light streaks
Date: 20 Dec 90 04:15:14 GMT

From: Thomas Lapp <mvac23!thomas@louie.udel.edu>

+ From: Steve.Rose@f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Steve Rose)
+ Subject: Phenomenon framed again!
+ Date: 13 Dec 90 06:38:44 GMT
+
+ [individual frames having flashes on them]

Here is another theory I've not seen proposed: if the film and location were at a lower humidity, it could very well be possible that she recorded static electrical discharges on her film. I've known this to happen with a 35mm still camera with a motor drive on it. When the motor drive was left to run it pulled the film through the camera fast enough to build up static electrical charge, which then sparked inside the camera. Since the spark is *light*, it registered on the film. (in this case it looked like green lightning on the photo).

+ Steve Rose - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
+ Here's another exercise...if you can leave the shutter open on your still
+ camera...aim it at a lamppost at night and try to write your name by making
+ 'script' movements of the letters holding the camera in the air. Fun.

But difficult, as you have to 'write backwards' by moving the camera in the direction opposite you want the 'pen' to write!

- tom

--

internet : mvac23!thomas@udel.edu or thomas%mvac23@udel.edu (home)
: 4398613@mcimail.com (work)

uucp : {ucbvax,mcvax,psuvax1,uunet}!udel!mvac23!thomas
Location : Newark, DE, USA

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Belgian UFO/Hard Copy segment
Date: 20 Dec 90 02:56:00 GMT

Astonishing multiple videos and interviews of the Belgian flying wing UFO on Hard Copy tonight, along with an interview with Vickie Cooper and ParaNet's own Don Ecker! Way to go!

The weight of the evidence, and the amount of evidence -- including senior Belgian & NATO military personnel, the Belgian gendarmerie and citizen witnesses was practically overwhelming. So were the six separate videos of the things (there are two, a wing and a disc, and they display a lovely orange glow whenever they accelerate or maneuver rapidly).

There was also a concluding interview with Dr. Jesse Marcel Jr. and a commentary on the U.S. government's alleged possession of the Roswell craft and the gov't's ongoing deception/lies about it. The Hard Copy commentary contrasted the U.S. attitude with the obviously forthcoming Belgians. Bravo!

Again, congrats to all concerned. Perhaps a letter-writing campaign by ParaNet subscribers/members to the Producers/Story Editors of Hard Copy will keep them focused on the subject. Eh?

Best,
Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Jim.Speiser@f37.n114.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)
Subject: Re: Interview with Jean-Pierre Petit
Date: 19 Dec 90 23:14:00 GMT

>

> (You might get your own facts straight before you criticize someone
> else.)

Keith:

Thank you for clarifying some of John's points. However, I don't perceive that he was so far off the mark to deserve such a pointed rejoinder from you. Perhaps you can explain for us laymen how your clarifications have invalidated John's basic point? And please remember, we're all trying to achieve the same goal here. Wouldn't it be nice if people just tuning in for the first time could see that disagreements can be discussed in a gentlemanly fashion?

Jim

--

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@f37.n114.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Jim.Speiser@f37.n114.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)
Subject: Belgian UFO/Hard Copy segment
Date: 20 Dec 90 21:38:00 GMT

> The weight of the evidence, and the amount of evidence -- including
> senior Belgian & NATO military personnel, the Belgian gendarmerie and
> citizen witnesses was practically overwhelming. So were the six
> separate videos of the things (there are two, a wing and a disc, and
> they display a lovely orange glow whenever they accelerate or maneuver
> rapidly).

I missed this effect, or did they just say they glowed orange? Also, the "hanging disk" video makes me a little nervous. I saw no anomalous movement, except for a slow turning as if it were a medallion hanging on a chain, which is exactly what it resembles.

> Again, congrats to all concerned. Perhaps a letter-writing campaign by
> ParaNet subscribers/members to the Producers/Story Editors of Hard Copy
> will keep them focused on the subject. Eh?

Good idea!

--

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@f37.n114.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Don.Ecker@f22.n30163.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)
Subject: Belgian UFO/Hard Copy segment
Date: 22 Dec 90 00:22:00 GMT

Clark Matthews wrote;

- > Again, congrats to all concerned. Perhaps a letter-writing
- > campaign by ParaNet subscribers/members to the
- > Producers/Story Editors of Hard Copy will keep them focused
- > on the subject. Eh?

Clark, a very interesting idea. If you are serious let's see what kind of response we get to that idea.

The address to Hard Copy is;

Hard Copy
5555 Melrose Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90038.

The producer of that segment was a guy named Dean Vallas. So what does everyone else think?

Regards;

Don

--

Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f22.n30163.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: mcorbin@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Belgian Ufo/hard Copy Segment
Date: 22 Dec 90 15:56:00 GMT

- > Clark, a very interesting idea. If you are serious
- > let's see what kind of response we get to that idea.
- >
- > The address to Hard Copy is;
- >
- > Hard Copy
- > 5555 Melrose Ave.
- > Los Angeles, CA 90038.

>
> The producer of that segment was a guy named Dean
> Vallas. So what does everyone else think?

Let's get this rolling, gang.

Mike

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: mcorbin@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Steve.Rose@f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Steve Rose)
Subject: RE: Super 8 film light streaks
Date: 21 Dec 90 16:25:19 GMT

> was left to run it pulled the film through the camera fast enough to
> build up static electrical charge, which then sparked inside the camera.
> Since the spark is *light*, it registered on the film. (in this case it
> looked like green lightning on the photo).

I wouldn't rule that out as there were a couple with a strong whitish-green color. Yet on most of the frames the light flashes were multicolored and the individual trails followed the principle light source(s). There were numerous "strikes" on each affected frame of film. In addition, the effect was limited to only a *single* frame with absolutely NO crossover to the adjacent frames on either end. I doubt a static discharge would be so particular about its target. :-)

Thanks for the comments and thoughts on the matter.

--

Steve Rose - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Steve.Rose@f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Re: Interview with Jean-Pierre Petit
Date: 21 Dec 90 16:28:01 GMT

> Ansel Adams is a poor reference for photographic science.

True, but he's one that Joe Public can easily find.

> (You might get your own facts straight before you criticize
> someone else.)

I'm no kind of chemist, just a news photographer with about 15 years experience.

My point, and I believe you've confirmed it, is that it's quite possible to unintentionally get an image in which a subject that was visually brilliant is rendered as black in the final print.

This effect has long been seen in ufo photos, and the explanation may not be as mysterious as some would believe. I've seen quite a few people show 'black' ufo photos and in each case, the lecturer hadn't a clue about how such a thing could be possible without resort to some mysterious force.

jbh

--

John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:310/8

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Don.Ecker@f22.n30163.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)

Subject: FYI

Date: 24 Dec 90 07:37:00 GMT

>From the "I'm Not Sure It's Real, But If So..... Dept."

I have just been informed by Mr. Dave Aaron, Video Dave of the UFO Clearinghouse of the following.

If you have read Vol. 5 No. 6 of UFO Magazine, and have been following the B-2 Bomber vis a vis UFO technology.... well it looks like the good folks at Rockwell, builders of the American B-2 Bomber may SUE the good folks at "STEALTH CONDOM." Yep, that is right, Stealth Condom. . . not Condominium! as in housing, but condom as in a rubber product. It would appear that the folks at Rockwell are taking a proprietary interest in the name of Stealth! As the story is unfolding, a leading Condom manufacturer was going to market a "Stealth Condom" and Rockwell felt the URGE to stop it at the court level! Guess you can't tell where that sneaky little ol' Stealth will turn up.

Merry Christmas and Happy New Year!

Don

--

Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:310/8
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f22.n30163.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: vanth!jms
Subject: Resonant Gravity Field Coils?
Date: 27 Dec 90 06:14:22 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

Someone posted a very interesting article to the Usenet newsgroup sci.skeptic recently. It was a description of, and plans for, a device called a Resonant Gravity Field Coil that allegedly could do things that sounded like a mix of Lazar and the Philadelphia Experiment.

The device was alleged to be a gravity manipulator based on the Biefeld-Brown effect. It used a coil inside of a coil instead of two metal plates. It apparently involved capacitance as well as inductance because the concentric toroidal coils were separated by molten wax, and the description said that the wax was intended as a dielectric and the file had been annotated to say that substituting glycerine for the wax would increase the field strength because glycerine has a higher dielectric constant. The field was called (at least in the annotation) a 'scorch field', which of course is a term courtesy of Mr. Allen(de). The characteristics of this field, and hence the distortions on spacetime within the torus, could be varied by varying the current through the two coils. No specifics were given, unfortunately.

Now the bad news. The origin of the file is probably untraceable. The Usenet poster said that he had gotten it from a friend, and the friend had downloaded it from BIX. (BYTE Information Exchange, a commercial BBS with [mostly] a highly technical usership.) No information as to the identity of the BIX uploader was given anywhere. Worse, the only identification the authors of the file provided were 'Shadow Hawk' and "Eagle One". They sound like hackers' (negative sense) or phreakers' handles, and in fact they list three BBS numbers, one of which is named 'Pirate 80' and another which is flagged 'Invitation Only'. I haven't tried the numbers; I don't even know where in the U.S. they are or how old the file is.

Has anyone seen this file, and can you identify the authors? Has anyone seen any files describing similar devices or theories? (I haven't called the Tesla BBS, could it have come from there?) And of course the most important thing -- could any of it be true? I don't even know if the Biefeld-Brown effect is for real. The biggest amount of information I've heard about T. T. Brown was from Moore and Berlitz, and I don't want to consider them reliable sources. What, for example, does Brown call his device in his patents? I can't see the patent office issuing a patent for

an antigravity device.

If there's enough interest, I could post the file here. I'm not doing it now because it's about 19K, and I want to see if it's already been debunked as complete rubbish before I waste bandwidth.

--

paper : James Shaffer Jr., 37 Brook Street, Montgomery, PA 17752
uucp : uunet!cbmvax!amix!vanth!jms (or) rutgers!cbmvax!amix!vanth!jms
domain: jms%vanth@amix.commodore.com CompuServe: 72750,2335
quote : ATTENTION ALL PLANETS OF THE SOLAR FEDERATION: WE HAVE ASSUMED CONTROL

*****To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to*****
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

*****The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter*****